### Case 1:22-cr-00055-JLT-SKO Document 27 Filed 07/08/22 Page 1 of 5

| 1  | PHILLIP A. TALBERT  |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2  | United States Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 |   |  |
| 3  |   |   |  |
| 4  |   |   |  |
| 5  |   |   |  |
| 6  | Attorneys for Plaintiff   |   |  |
| 7  | United States of America  |   |  |
| 8  |   | TA TEG DIGTRICT COLUDT                    |  |
| 9  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| 10 |   |   |  |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00055-JLT-SKO            |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  | STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE          |  |
| 13 | v.  | TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT ORDER |  |
| 14 | JOSHUA ASTORGA,   | ORDER                                     |  |
|    | ,   |   |  |
| 15 | Defendant.  |   |  |
| 16 |   |   |  |
| 17 | BACKGROUND  |   |  |
| 18 | This case is set for a Status Conference on July 20, 2022. On May 13, 2020, this Court issued   |   |  |
| 19 | General Order 618, which suspends all jury trials in the Eastern District of California "until further  |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |

This case is set for a Status Conference on July 20, 2022. On May 13, 2020, this Court issued General Order 618, which suspends all jury trials in the Eastern District of California "until further notice." Under General Order 618, a judge "may exercise his or her authority to continue matters, excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act with reference to the court's prior General Order 611 issued on March 17, 2020, . . . with additional findings to support the exclusion in the Judge's discretion." General Order 618, ¶ 6 (E.D. Cal. May 13, 2020). In addition, any judge "may order case-by-case exceptions" to General Order 618's provisions "at the discretion of that Judge or upon the request of counsel, after consultation with counsel and the Clerk of the Court to the extent such an order will impact court staff and operations." General Order 618, ¶ 7 (E.D. Cal. May 13, 2020). This and previous General Orders were entered to address public health concerns related to COVID-19.

Although the General Orders address the district-wide health concern, the Supreme Court has

## Case 1:22-cr-00055-JLT-SKO Document 27 Filed 07/08/22 Page 2 of 5

emphasized that the Speedy Trial Act's end-of-justice provision "counteract[s] substantive openendedness with procedural strictness," "demand[ing] on-the-record findings" in a particular case. *Zedner v. United States*, 547 U.S. 489, 509 (2006). "[W]ithout on-the-record findings, there can be no exclusion under" § 3161(h)(7)(A). *Id.* at 507. Moreover, any such failure cannot be harmless. *Id.* at 509; *see also United States v. Ramirez-Cortez*, 213 F.3d 1149, 1153 (9th Cir. 2000) (explaining that a judge ordering an ends-of-justice continuance must set forth explicit findings on the record "either orally or in writing").

Based on the plain text of the Speedy Trial Act—which *Zedner* emphasizes as both mandatory and inexcusable—General Orders 611, 612, 617, and 618 require specific supplementation. Ends-of-justice continuances are excludable only if "the judge granted such continuance on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Moreover, no such period is excludable unless "the court sets forth, in the record of the case, either orally or in writing, its reason or finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." *Id*.

The General Orders exclude delay in the "ends of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7) (Local Code T4). Although the Speedy Trial Act does not directly address continuances stemming from pandemics, natural disasters, or other emergencies, this Court has discretion to order a continuance in such circumstances. For example, the Ninth Circuit affirmed a two-week ends-of-justice continuance following Mt. St. Helens' eruption. *Furlow v. United States*, 644 F.2d 764 (9th Cir. 1981). The court recognized that the eruption created "appreciable difficulty" for the trial to proceed. *Id.* at 767-69; *see also United States v. Correa*, 182 F. Supp. 326, 329 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (citing *Furlow* to exclude time following the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks and the resultant public emergency).

The coronavirus poses a similar, albeit more enduring, "appreciable difficulty" to the prompt proceedings mandated by the statutory rules. Recently, the Ninth Circuit enumerated a "non-exhaustive" list of seven factors it found to be "relevant" in considering ends-of-justice Speedy Trial Act continuances "in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic." *United States v. Olsen*, --- F.3d ---, 2021 WL 1589359 at \*7 (9th Cir. Apr. 23, 2021). That non-exhaustive list includes: (1) whether a defendant is

detained pending trial; (2) how long a defendant has been detained; (3) whether a defendant has invoked speedy trial rights since the case's inception; (4) whether a defendant, if detained, belongs to a population that is particularly susceptible to complications if infected with the virus; (5) the seriousness of the charges a defendant faces, and in particular whether the defendant is accused of violent crimes; (6) whether there is a reason to suspect recidivism if the charges against the defendant are dismissed; and (7) whether the district court has the ability to safely conduct a trial. *Id*.

In light of the foregoing, this Court should consider the following case-specific facts in finding excludable delay appropriate in this particular case under the ends-of-justice exception, § 3161(h)(7) (Local Code T4). If continued, this Court should designate a status conference date of August 31, 2022. *United States v. Lewis*, 611 F.3d 1172, 1176 (9th Cir. 2010) (noting any pretrial continuance must be "specifically limited in time").

#### **STIPULATION**

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. By previous order, this matter was set for Status Conference on July 20, 2022.
- 2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the case and set a status conference on August 31, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., and to exclude time between July 20, 2022, and August 31, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].
  - 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes reports, search warrants and affidavits, videos, photographs, and body wire audio recordings. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying. Additionally, supplemental discovery was provided. The government has also conveyed a plea agreement to the defendant for his review.
  - b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review discovery, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, conduct research into any mitigating factors, consult with his client, discuss a potential plea with the government, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

## Case 1:22-cr-00055-JLT-SKO Document 27 Filed 07/08/22 Page 4 of 5

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| _ | 0 |

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 20, 2022 to August 31, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 5, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ ANTONIO J. PATACA
ANTONIO J. PATACA
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: July 5, 2022 /s/ ROGER D. WILSON

ROGER D. WILSON Counsel for Defendant JOSHUA ASTORGA

28

# Case 1:22-cr-00055-JLT-SKO Document 27 Filed 07/08/22 Page 5 of 5

| 1        |                   | ORDER   |
|----------|-------------------|---|
| 2        | IT IS SO ORDERED. |   |
| 3        |                   |   |
| 4        |                   |   |
| 5        | 7/7/0000          | Shaila K Obarta                                 |
| 6        | DATED: 7/7/2022   | Sheila K. Oberto THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO |
| 7        |                   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE                    |
| 8        |                   |   |
| 9        |                   |   |
| 10       |                   |   |
| 11       |                   |   |
| 12       |                   |   |
| 13       |                   |   |
| 14       |                   |   |
| 15       |                   |   |
| 16       |                   |   |
| 17       |                   |   |
| 18       |                   |   |
| 19       |                   |   |
| 20<br>21 |                   |   |
| 21   22  |                   |   |
| 23       |                   |   |
| 24       |                   |   |
| 25       |                   |   |
| 26       |                   |   |
| 27       |                   |   |
| 28       |                   |   |